## No. 995

Hypothesis Testing Based on Lagrange's Method: Application to The Uniform Distribution.

bу

Yoshiko Nogami

July 2002

# HYPOTHESIS TESTING BASED ON LAGRANGE'S METHOD: APPLICATION TO THE UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION.

YOSHIKO NOGAMI

July 1, 2002

Abstract. In this paper we deal with the uniform distribution as follows:  $f(x|\theta)=\theta^{-1} \qquad (0 < x < \theta; \ 0 < \theta).$ 

The author proposes the tests which essentially have the acceptance regions derived from inverting the conditional minimum-length(CML) interval estimates for the function  $\ln \ell$  of the parameter  $\ell$  based on the Lagrange's method. She proposes the two-sided test for testing the hypothesis  $H_0: \ell = \ell$ 0 versus the alternative hypothesis  $H_1: \ell \neq \ell$ 0 with a positive constant  $\ell$ 0. Her test is unbiased. She also propose the uniformly most powerful one-sided test for testing  $H'_0: \ell \leq \ell$ 0 versus  $H'_1: \ell > \ell$ 0.

#### §1. Introduction.

The idea for the relation between the tests and interval estimates is seen in Neyman(1937) and recently, for example, Matusita(1951) and Matubara & Nogam (1982). For the hypothesis testing based on Lagrange's method we refer to Nogami(2002). Let  $I_A(x)$  be the indicator function of the interval A such that  $I_A(x)=1$  if  $x\in A$ ; =0 if  $x\notin A$ . Here, we consider to test the parameter  $\theta$  of the uniform distribution

(1) 
$$f(x|\theta) = \theta^{-1} I_{(0,\theta)}(x) \qquad (\theta>0).$$

Let  $X_1$ , ...,  $X_n$  be a random sample of size n taken from (1). We apply the similar analysis appeared in Sections 2.3 and 2.4 and Section 3 of Nogami(2002).

In Section 2 we consider the problem for testing the hypothesis  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus the alternative hypothesis  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$  with a positive constant  $\theta_0$ . Let  $\mathring{=}$  be the defining property. Let  $\theta * \mathring{=} \ln \theta$  and  $Y \mathring{=} \ln X$ . We estimate  $\theta * \theta$  by the unbiased estimate  $U = \mathring{Y} + 1 = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i + 1$  and construct the conditional minimum—length (CML) interval estimate for  $\theta * \theta$  based on the Lagrange's method. Then, we apply this interval estimate to get the two—sided test and show that our test is unbiased. Let  $\theta$  be a real number such that  $0 < \theta < 1$ . For a reference on this problem there is a uniformly most powerful (UMP) test of size  $\theta$  in Ferguson (1967, p. 213) (as well as Lehmann (1986, p. 111)). However, this test cannot be applied to the test of  $H'_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$  versus  $H'_1: \theta > \theta_0$  because it takes probability of size  $\theta$  from the lower tail only.

In Section 3 we propose the one-sided unbiased test of  $H'_0: \emptyset \le \emptyset_0$  versus  $H'_1: \emptyset > \emptyset_0$ . As references for this problem we refer to Mood, Graybill and Boes(1988, p. 424) (as well as Ferguson(1967, p. 213) for a randomized test and Lehmann (1986, p. 111)). Since our test has the same power as that in Mood, Graybill & Boes(1988, p. 424) for  $\emptyset > \emptyset_0$ , our test is also UMP and of size  $\emptyset$ .

We call  $(S_1, S_2)$  a  $(1-\alpha)$  interval estimate for the parameter  $\eta$  if  $P_{\eta}[S_1 < \eta < S_2]$  =1- $\alpha$ .

### §2. The unbiased two-sided test.

Let  $U=\overline{Y}+1$ . Let  $Y_{(i)}$  be the smallest observation of  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ . Let  $W=\sum_{i=1}^n Y_{(i)} (=\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i)$  and  $V=Y_{(n)}$ . We first find the density  $h_{w, v}(w, v)$  of (W, V).

Then, we find the density  $g_w(w)$  of W. Furthermore, letting  $T=2n(\theta^*+1-U)$  we obtain the density  $h_T(t)$  of T to get the CML  $(1-\theta)$  interval estimate for  $\theta^*$  based on U.

First of all we find the density of Y as follows:

(2) 
$$g_{Y}(y) = \exp\{y - \theta^*\} I_{(-\infty, \theta^*)}(y).$$

Since , from (2),  $g_{Y1}$  ...,  $Y_n$   $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n) = \exp\{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - n\theta^*\} I_{(-\infty, \theta^*)}$  (v), we can find the joint density of W, V,  $Z_2 = Y_{(2)}$ ,  $Z_3 = Y_{(3)}$ , ..., and  $Z_{n-1} = Y_{(n-1)}$  as follows:

(3) 
$$h(w, v, z_2, ..., z_{n-1}) = n! \exp\{w - n\theta^*\},$$

for  $-\infty \langle w-v-\sum_{i=2}^n z_i \le z_2 \le \ldots \le z_{n-1} \le v \le \theta^*$ . To get  $h_{w, v}(w, v)$  we integrate out (3) with respect to  $z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}$ . Then, we obtain

(4) 
$$h_{w, v}(w, v) = \{n/\lceil (n-1)\} \exp\{-(n\theta^* - w)\} (nv - w)^{n-2}, \text{ for } w \le nv \le n\theta^*.$$

Taking the marginal density  $g_w(w)$  of W we have

(5) 
$$g_w(w) = (\Gamma(n))^{-1} \exp\{-(n\theta^* - w)\}(n\theta^* - w)^{n-1}, \text{ for } w \le n\theta^*.$$

Using a variable transformation  $T \stackrel{*}{=} 2n(\theta * +1 - U) = 2\{n(\theta * +1) - W\}$  we get, from (5), the density of T as follows:

(6) 
$$h_{T}(t) = (\Gamma(n))^{-1} t^{n-1} e^{-t/2} 2^{-n} I_{[0,\infty)}(t).$$

Let  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  be real numbers such that  $r_1 \triangleleft r_2$ . To find the CML (1-a) interval estimate for  $\theta^*$  we want to minimize  $r_2-r_1$  subject to

(7) 
$$P_{\theta}[r_1 < U - \theta * < r_2] = 1 - \alpha.$$

But, by a variable transformation  $t=2n(\theta^*+1-u)$  (7) is equal to

(8) 
$$P[t_1 < T < t_2] = 1 - \alpha$$

with  $t_1=2n(1-r_2)$  and  $t_2=2n(1-r_1)$ . Hence, we want to minimize  $t_2-t_1$  subject to the condition (8). Let  $\lambda$  be a Lagrange's multiplier and define

$$t_2$$

$$L = t_2 - t_1 - \lambda \{ \{ h_T(t) \ dt - 1 + \alpha \} \}.$$

Then,  $\partial L/\partial t_1 = 0 = \partial L/\partial t_2$  leads to

(9) 
$$h_T(t_1)=h_T(t_2) (=\lambda^{-1}).$$

Taking  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  which satisfy (9) and  $\partial L/\partial \lambda = 0$ , noticing that  $r_1 = 1 - t_2/(2n)$  and  $r_2 = 1 - t_1/(2n)$  we obtain the CML (1 - a) interval estimate for  $\theta$  as follows:

(10) 
$$(U-1+t_1/(2n), U-1+t_2/(2n)).$$

Hence, by letting  $u_1^0 = \theta_0^* + 1 - t_2/(2n)$  and  $u_2^0 = \theta_0^* + 1 - t_1/(2n)$  and inverting (10) for  $\theta_0^*$  our test is to reject  $H_0$  if  $U \le u_1^0$  or  $u_2^0 \le U$  or  $\theta_0^* < V$  and to accept  $H_0$  if  $u_1^0 < U < u_2^0$  and  $V \le \theta_0^*$ .

To check unbiasedness of this test we use (4) and obtain the power of the test as follows:

$$\pi(\theta) = P_{\theta} [U \le u_1^{\theta} \text{ or } u_2^{\theta} \le U \text{ or } \theta_0^* < V]$$

= $P_{\theta}[\theta_{0}^{*} < V] + P_{\theta}[W \le n\theta_{0}^{*} - 2^{-1}t_{2}]$  and  $V \le \theta_{0}^{*}] + P_{\theta}[n\theta_{0}^{*} - 2^{-1}t_{1} \le W]$  and  $V \le \theta_{0}^{*}]$ 

$$\begin{cases} 1 - (1 - \alpha)(\theta_0 / \theta)^n, & \text{for } \theta_0 < \theta, \\ \\ 2n(\theta^* - \theta_0^*) + t_1 & \infty \\ \\ \begin{cases} h_T(t) dt + \begin{cases} h_T(t) dt, \\ \\ 2n(\theta^* - \theta_0^*) - t_2 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$for \theta_0 \exp\{-t_1/(2n)\} < \theta \le \theta_0,$$

$$\begin{cases} h_T(t) \ dt, & \text{for } \theta_0 \exp\{-t_2/(2n)\} < \theta \le \theta_0 \exp\{-t_1/(2n)\}, \\ 2n(\theta^* - \theta_0^*) + t_2 & \\ 1, & \text{for } 0 < \theta \le \theta_0 \exp\{-t_2/(2n)\}. \end{cases}$$

Hence,  $d\pi(\theta)/d\theta>0$  for  $\theta_0<\theta$ ,  $d\pi(\theta)/d\theta=2n\theta^{-1}\{h_T(2n(\theta^*-\theta_0^*)+t_1)-h_T(2n(\theta^*-\theta_0^*)+t_2)\}$  <0 for  $\theta_0\exp\{-t_1/(2n)\}<\theta<\theta_0$  and  $d\pi(\theta)/d\theta<0$  for  $\theta_0\exp\{-t_2/(2n)\}<\theta\le\theta_0\exp\{-t_1/(2n)\}$ . The second inequality above follows because of (9) and (6). Thus, we have  $\pi(\theta)\ge\alpha=\pi(\theta_0)$  for real  $\theta$ . Therefore, unbiasedness of the test is proved. From the construction it is easily seen from (7) that our test is of size  $\alpha$ .

We note that there is a UMP-size  $\mathfrak{g}$  test in Ferguson(1976, p. 213) (as well as Lehmann(1986, p. 111)) for this problem. The power of this test is the same as (11) for  $\theta_0 \triangleleft \theta$ . However, since most of the time we have  $\exp\{-2^{-1}t_2\} \triangleleft \theta^{1/n}$ , our power for  $\theta_0 \exp\{-t_2/(2n)\} \triangleleft \theta \triangleleft \theta_0 \theta^{1/n}$  is no better than Ferguson(1976, p. 213). However, As I stated in Section 1, this (his) test is not applicable for the test of  $H'_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$  versus  $H'_1: \theta_0 \triangleleft \theta$ . In the next section we show that our test of  $H'_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$  versus  $H'_1: \theta_0 \triangleleft \theta$  is UMP and of size  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

## §3. The UMP one-sided test.

In this section we first consider the test of  $H'_0: \theta \le \theta_0$  versus  $H'_1: \theta_0 < \theta$ . As in Section 2 we let  $\theta * = \ln \theta$ ,  $U = \overline{Y} + 1$  and  $V = Y_{(n)}$ . We furthermore define  $u_2 * = \theta_0 * + 1 - t_1/(2n)$  where  $t_1$  here is defined by

## (12) $P[T < t_1] = \alpha.$

Then, our one-sided test is to reject  $H'_0$  if  $u_2^* \le U$  or  $\theta_0^* < V$  and to accept  $H'_0$  if  $U < u_2^*$  and  $V \le \theta_0^*$ . From Section 2 we can easily get the power of the test as follows:

$$\pi(\theta) = P_{\theta} \left[ u_2 \stackrel{*}{\leq} U \text{ or } \theta_0 \stackrel{*}{<} V \right]$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1-(1-\alpha)(\theta_0/\theta)^n, & \text{for } \theta_0 < \theta \\ 2n(\theta^*-\theta_0^*)+t_1 \\ \int h_T(t) dt, & \text{for } \theta_0 \exp\{-t_1/(2n)\} < \theta \le \theta_0 \\ 0, & \text{for } 0 < \theta \le \theta_0 \exp\{-t_1/(2n)\}. \end{cases}$$

Since  $d_{\pi}(\theta)/d\theta > 0$  for  $\theta_{0} < \theta$  and  $d_{\pi}(\theta)/d\theta = 2n\theta^{-1}h_{T}(2n(\theta^{*}-\theta_{0}^{*})+t_{1})>0$  for  $\theta < \theta_{0}$ ,  $\pi(\theta_{0}) = \theta \le \pi(\theta)$  for real  $\theta$  such that  $\theta_{0} < \theta$ . Hence, this test is unbiased. It is immediate from (12) that our test is of size  $\theta$ .

Historically, there is a randomized test in Ferguson(1976, p. 213 #7(c)) which is better than our test in the sense of the power. However, it is more natural to compare our test with the test appeared in Mood, Graybill & Boes (1988, p. 424) which rejects  $H_0$  if  $V > \theta_0 (1-\alpha)^{1/n}$  and accepts  $H_0$  if  $V \le \theta_0 (1-\alpha)^{1/n}$ . This test has the same power as our power for  $\theta_0 < \theta$ . Hence, our test is also UMP and of size  $\alpha$ .

#### § 4. Remark.

The term "interval estimate" used in this paper is due to Fabian & Hannan (1985).

## REFERENCES

- Fabian, V. & Hannan, J. (1985). Introduction to Probability and Mathematical Statistics., John Wiley & Sons.
- Ferguson, T. S. (1976). Mathematical Statistics-a Decision Theoretic Approach.

  Academic Press.
- Lehmann, E. L. (1986). Testing Statistical Hypotheses., 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons.
- Matubara, N. & Nogami, Y. (1982). (Translation into Japanese) Statistical Hypothesis Testing. (SAGE publication by R. E. Henkel), Asakurashotenn
- Matusita, K. (1951). Fundamental Theory of Statistical Mathematics (in Japanese).

  Asakura-shotenn.
- Mood, A., Graybill, F. A. & Boes, D. C. (1988). Introduction to the Theory of Statistics., 3rd. ed., McGraw Hill Int. Ed.

- Neyman, J. (1937). Outline of a theory of statiastical estimation based on the classical theory of probability., *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A.*, 236, 333-80.
- Nogami, Y. (2002). Hypothesis testing based on Lagrange's method: Applications to Cauchy, Exponential and Logistic distributions., Discussion Paper Series No. 988, Inst. of Policy & Planning Sciences, Univ. of Tsukuba, May.