

No. 751

A new view on statistical inference.

—Part III—

—— Case of the retreated distributions ——

by

Yoshiko Nogami

October 1997

A new view on statistical inference.
---Part III---

-----Case of the retreated distributions -----

Yoshiko Nogami

October, 1997

Abstract.

This is a modified version of some part of Nogami(1997,May).

In Nogami(1997,May), the author uses the retreated distributions over the interval $[\theta+\delta_1, \theta+\delta_2)$ with density

$$(1) \quad f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} c^{-1}, & \text{for } \theta+\delta_1 < x < \theta+\delta_2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\theta \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $c = \delta_2 - \delta_1 (> 0)$ with real numbers δ_1 and δ_2 .

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n from $f(x|\theta)$. Let $X_{(i)}$ be the i -th smallest observation of X_1, \dots, X_n . In this paper, the author uses an unbiased estimator $Y = (X_{(1)} + X_{(n)} - \delta_0)/2$ ($\delta_0 = \delta_1 + \delta_2$) to find the optimal confidence interval (C.I.) for θ (see §2) and check its goodness by using the falsely-covering probability (see §3) and the optimal property of the shortest C. I. at $\theta = \theta_0$ (see §4).

1. Introduction.

This is the paper of a modified-version of some part of Nogami(1997, May). Let $I_A(x)$ be a indicator function such that $I_A(x)=1$, if $x \in A$; $=0$, if $x \notin A$, for a set A . In this paper the author considers the retreated distribution over the interval $[\theta+\delta_1, \theta+\delta_2)$ with density

$$(1) \quad f(x|\theta) = c^{-1} I_{(\theta+\delta_1, \theta+\delta_2)}(x), \quad \forall \theta$$

where $\delta_i (i=1,2)$ are the real numbers and $c = \delta_2 - \delta_1 (>0)$.

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n from $f(x|\theta)$. Let $X_{(i)}$ ($i=1,2, \dots, n$) be the i -th smallest observation such that $X_{(1)} < \dots < X_{(n)}$. We try to construct an optimal confidence interval (C.I.) for θ .

As a literature the author's research in this direction was firstly explored in 1992 (see, for example, Nogami(1992)). In Nogami(1992) the author obtained the optimal C. I. for θ when the underlined-distribution is $f(x|\theta)$ with $\delta_1=0$ and $\delta_2=1$. Although at this time the author had been noticed that the unbiased estimator $Y=(X_{(1)}+X_{(n)}-1)/2$ for θ could be a good estimator(see p.1 in (1992)), miscalculation had prevented the author from reaching the right direction. Later(Nogami(1995)), this Y is used to obtain the optimal C. I. for θ . This paper is a generalized version of Nogami(1995) to $f(x|\theta)$ in (1). Let α be a real number such that $0 < \alpha < 1$.

In this paper the author uses an unbiased estimator $Y'=(X_{(1)}+X_{(n)}-\delta_0)/2$ ($\delta_0=\delta_1+\delta_2$) to get the optimal shortest C. I. $(Y-r, Y+r)$ with $r=c(1-\alpha^{1/n})/2$, where r is determined by

$$(2) \quad 1 - P_\theta[\theta \in (Y-r, Y+r)] = \alpha.$$

(in Section 2). In Section 3, she computes the probability $\xi(\theta)$ of falsely covering $\theta_0 (\neq \theta)$ when the true parameter is θ , as a function of θ , and checks that it is a concave (from below) function of θ . In Section 4, we check the optimal property for the C. I. $(Y-r, Y+r)$ at $\theta=\theta_0$.

Let \doteq be a defining property.

§2. Shortest C. I.

In this section we use the statistic $Y = (X_{(1)} + X_{(n)} - \theta_0)/2$ ($\theta_0 = \theta_1 + \theta_2$) to get the shortest C. I. for θ at confidence coefficient $1 - \alpha (= \gamma)$. We first find the probability density function (p.d.f.) of Y . Applying the variable transformations $Y = (X_{(1)} + X_{(n)} - \theta_0)/2$ and $Z = X_{(1)}$ to the joint density of $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ and taking the marginal p.d.f. we obtain the p.d.f. of Y as follows:

$$(3) \quad g(y|\theta) (= g(y-\theta)) = \begin{cases} nc^{-n}(c-2|y-\theta|)^{n-1}, & \text{for } -c/2 < y-\theta < c/2, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

To get the shortest C. I. for θ at confidence coefficient γ we minimize $y_2 - y_1 (> 0)$ provided that for any $\theta \in (-\infty, \infty)$

$$(4) \quad P_n[y_1 < Y < y_2] = \int_{y_1}^{y_2} g(y|\theta) dy = \gamma$$

where y_1 and y_2 are real numbers such that $y_1 < y_2$. To get such y_1 and y_2 we let

$$(5) \quad (L \doteq) L(y_1, y_2) \doteq y_2 - y_1 - \lambda \left\{ \int_{y_1}^{y_2} g(y|\theta) dy - \gamma \right\}, \text{ for real } \lambda$$

and solve the equations

$$(6) \quad \partial L / \partial y_1 = -1 + \lambda g(y_1|\theta) = 0,$$

$$(7) \quad \partial L / \partial y_2 = 1 - \lambda g(y_2|\theta) = 0,$$

$$(8) \quad \partial L / \partial \lambda = \int_{y_1}^{y_2} g(y|\theta) dy - \gamma = 0, \quad \forall \theta.$$

Since by (6) and (7), we obtain

$$(9) \quad g(y_1|\theta) = g(y_2|\theta) (= \lambda^{-1}), \quad \forall \theta,$$

we merely obtain y_1 and y_2 which satisfy (9) and (8), for any $\theta \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

Hence, we obtain

$$(10) \quad y_1 = \theta - r \quad \text{and} \quad y_2 = \theta + r$$

where r is determined by

$$(11) \quad r = c(1 - \theta^{1/n})/2.$$

Hence, the shortest C. I. for θ is $(Y-r, Y+r)$ for which

$$(12) \quad P_\theta[\theta \in (Y-r, Y+r)] = \gamma.$$

In the next section we compute the probability of falsely covering $\theta_0 (\neq \theta)$ when the true parameter is θ and see that it is concave (from below) in θ .

§3. The Falsely covering probability.

Let

$$(13) \quad \xi(\theta) \doteq P_{\theta_0}[\theta \in (Y-r, Y+r)], \quad \text{for real } \theta_0 \text{ with } \theta_0 \neq \theta$$

where r is given by (11). We shall call $\xi(\theta)$ as the probability of falsely covering $\theta_0 (\neq \theta)$ when the true parameter is θ . We can easily calculate $\xi(\theta)$ as follows:

$$(14) \quad \xi(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } \theta \leq \theta_0 - r - c/2, \\ \frac{1 - 2c^{-1}(\theta_0 - r - \theta)^n}{2}, & \text{for } \theta_0 - r - c/2 \leq \theta < \theta_0 + r - c/2, \\ \frac{[1 - 2c^{-1}(\theta_0 - r - \theta)]^n - [1 - 2c^{-1}(\theta_0 + r - \theta)]^n}{2}, & \text{for } \theta_0 + r - c/2 \leq \theta < \theta_0 - r, \\ \frac{1 - [1 - 2c^{-1}(\theta - \theta_0 + r)]^n + [1 - 2c^{-1}(\theta_0 + r - \theta)]^n}{2}, & \text{for } \theta_0 - r \leq \theta < \theta_0 + r, \\ \frac{[1 + 2c^{-1}(\theta_0 + r - \theta)]^n - [1 - 2c^{-1}(\theta - \theta_0 + r)]^n}{2}, & \text{for } \theta_0 + r \leq \theta < \theta_0 - r + c/2, \\ \frac{1 + 2c^{-1}(\theta_0 + r - \theta)]^n}{2}, & \text{for } \theta_0 - r + c/2 \leq \theta < \theta_0 + r + c/2, \\ 0, & \text{for } \theta_0 + r + c/2 \leq \theta. \end{cases}$$

(Here, we remark that for $(\alpha \geq 0.001; n \geq 10)$, $(\alpha \geq 0.01; n \geq 7)$, $(\alpha \geq 0.05; n \geq 5)$, and $(\alpha \geq 0.10; n \geq 4)$, we have that $\alpha^{1/n} \geq 1/2$. (See Table, below.) So, calculations led to (14) depend on α and n such that $\alpha^{1/n} \geq 2^{-1}$.)

Table. The Values of $\alpha^{1/n}$.

α	.10	.05	.01	.001
$n=4$.56	.47	.32	.18
5	.63	.55	.40	.25
6	.68	.61	.46	.32
7	.72	.65	.52	.37
10	.79	.74	.63	.50
50	.95	.94	.91	.87
100	.98	.97	.96	.93

Since, $d\xi(\theta)/d\theta = \xi'(\theta) > 0$
 for $\theta < \theta_0$, $\xi'(\theta) < 0$ for $\theta > \theta_0$ and
 $\xi'(\theta_0) = 0$, and since $\xi(\theta_0) = \alpha$ and
 $\xi(+\infty) = \xi(-\infty) = 0$, $\xi(\theta)$ is a concave (from

below) function of θ . We also see that for all θ with $\theta \neq \theta_0$, $\xi(\theta) < \alpha$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the next section we check the optimal property for the C. I.

$(Y-r, Y+r)$ at $\theta = \theta_0$.

§4. Optimal property.

Assume, for simplicity, that

$$(15) \quad \phi(Y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } Y_1 \geq Y \text{ or } Y_2 \leq Y \\ 0, & \text{if } Y_1 < Y < Y_2, \end{cases}$$

where Y_1 and Y_2 are real numbers such that $Y_1 < Y_2$.

Define $\beta(\theta) = E_\theta(\phi(Y))$. Assume that $\theta = \theta_0$ is a true value. Then, we try to

choose Y_1 and Y_2 which satisfy

$$(16) \quad \beta(\theta_0) = E_{\theta_0}(\phi(Y)) = \alpha$$

and

$$(17) \quad \beta'(\theta_0) = \left. \frac{d\beta(\theta)}{d\theta} \right|_{\theta=\theta_0} = g(Y_2|\theta_0) - g(Y_1|\theta_0) = 0.$$

Equations (16) and (17) are the same as (8) and (9) except for the value θ_0 of θ . Hence, the solution of (16) and (17) is (10) with θ replaced by θ_0 .

References.

- Y. Nogami(1992)."A statistical inference under the uniform distribution $U[\theta, \theta+1]$ " D.P. Series No. 507, Inst. Soci-Econ. Planning, U. of Tsukuba, December.
- Y. Nogami(1995)."Statistical Inference under $U[\theta, \theta+1]$; Correction and Supplement of No.507".(in Japanese) D.P. Series No.627, Inst.Soci-Econ.Planning, U. of Tsukuba, May.
- Y. Nogami(1997, May).(Unpublished Paper) A new breakthrough on statistical inference. pp.1-8 (Submitted to some journal for publication on June, 1st of 1997, and turned down in July).